

Hall Refurbishment Meeting – Thursday 10/06/2010

Present: Sari Bartlett, Les Brown, Jackie Kelly, Henry Brewer, Trish Davies, Neil Hodge, Nell Showers

Apologies: Carolyn Martin

Lengthy discussion took place around the costings of the 3 options under consideration. The committee took time tonight to go through each of the options looking at each line to determine if it could all be achieved and if not, what would need to be included in a 5 or 10 year plan. After we did this, we worked through the practicalities of each of the options, looking at the layout and how or if they would work. Based on both of these we decided that neither of Options 1 or 2 would be up for further consideration. However Option 3 still had merit and would therefore be still considered. Les provided us with an alternate layout. This layout was given full consideration. We will ask Drew to cost it before making any further decisions. Henry presented another layout which we discussed and will discuss further at the next meeting.

Agenda for Meeting:

Kitchen Plan

Revisit the 15% contingency money

Proposals and Costings

Kitchen Plan:

We looked at the revised layout from Terry Cartwright Kitchens and discussed the practicality of it, in particular to the workability and position of the dishwasher and stove. The layout can be changed if it is deemed not suitable, so we decided to leave it at the moment as it generally meets our requirements.

Contingency Money:

We revisited what the 15% contingency money covers and what happens if it looks like we won't actually spend it all. Does that money then become available for inclusion into the refurbishment of the hall? **Will ask Drew at next meeting.**

Proposals/Costings:

We worked through costings supplied by Drew on the 3 options that he provided with costings.

We looked at all the costs of each option and systematically went through the figures.

The results were:

Option 1.	\$304,000	
Option 2.	\$428,805,	
Option 2.	Cost reduced as far as possible, many items excluded	\$371,750)
Option 3.	\$319,715	

The committee decided (after extensive discussion), to drop Options 1 and 2, and to run with Option 3a, but to include an additional Option 2 (designed by Les). **We will ask Drew if he could cost out the new reworked Option 2. (It may be still too expensive to achieve but we won't know until it is costed).**

Next meeting: Wednesday 16th June at 7pm at the hall