

# Hall Refurbishment Meeting – Monday 31/05/2010

**Present:** Sari Bartlett, Les Brown, Jackie Kelly, Henry Brewer, Trish Davies, Neil Hodge, Drew Carey (Project Manager-Alpine Shire)

**Apologies:** Nell Showers, Carolyn Martin

Some discussion took place around the disenchantment of small group within the community. In particular the risk of dividing the community over the hall renovations, when in fact the renovations should be bringing the community together. It was agreed to discuss this further at the end of the meeting so not to take up the time with Drew.

## Review of Notes of Previous Meetings:

**Contact Lloyd Beattie (DSE) to find out what exactly needs to be done,**

Discussed hall committee structure with Lloyd Beattie, he advised that we didn't need to do anything until our next official Hall Committee meeting (Monday 7<sup>th</sup> June) at which time we needed to appoint an interim President for the next 12mths

**Contact Drew to ascertain where he is up to with costings,**

Rang Drew, he will have the costings for us at tonight's meeting

**Contact Glen Lawrence to find out when he will be able to inspect the hall,**

Glen Lawrence inspected the roof on Friday the 28<sup>th</sup> May. He informed Trish, Henry and Les that the minimum that was needed was to replace the capping, gutters, channels, and to replace the roof nails with roof screws. A couple of sheets needed to be replaced but the majority of the roofing was serviceable. With regards to the fascia, he suggested that instead of replacing them, we could just place colorbond fascia right over top, thus reducing the cost and ongoing maintenance. He inspected the roof cavity and told us that the beams where in good shape and didn't need replacing, but the sisalation in the roof needed to be replaced. He thought that depending on the cost, we could just do the minimum and plan to replace the roof sheeting in say 5 or 10 years. But we should have someone come and give us a quote to do just the minimum as well as the whole roof and compare the price before making a hasty decision.

## Agenda for Meeting:

**Review Timelines**

**Additional Support**

**Proposals/Costings**

**Memorial Funding/Data Base**

**Community Input Process**

## Proposals/Costings, Timelines and Support:

### Timelines:

The timelines we have been working towards where set by Drew. The reason for this was to ensure that we keep things moving along and not get bogged down or complacent. All timeframes are flexible in that if it becomes obvious that we need more time to get it right, then we just have to apply for an extension. This will be granted.

The timeline in place of the 28<sup>th</sup> May, was put in place so we could have plans drawn up, tender process, evaluation of tenders, appoint builder and have this all done for the September Council meeting. We asked Drew if we had to wait for the scheduled Council meeting, to which he said NO. We could request a special meeting just to deal with us.

Currently there are two milestones on the agreement which need to be achieved, the 1<sup>st</sup> one is at the end of October and the second one is the end of November. Both of these can be moved if we need to.

**Support:**

Drew said that the Shire was willing to support the committee by providing us with a facilitator to assist facilitate our meetings, or if we wanted Kathy McGowan to come back to do further community consultation.

He also said that if we, (as a committee) needed/wanted to have a counsellor come in to help us work through the considerable stresses of this process, then the shire would provide someone.

The committee discussed these options and was of the opinion that our meetings are running quite smoothly and to have a vacillator come to those meetings would be a waste of resources, but it may be beneficial to have someone from outside the community facilitate further community consultation (this will be discussed further close to the time).

**Proposals/Costings:**

The committee feels that a final concept is close and things could move along very quickly any time now.

Drew presented three proposals and we went through in quite detail the costings for each proposal. This process took about two hours.

**Memorial Funding**

With the general objection to the green space in Myrtleford as a place for a memorial, we took some time to discuss what we thought would be a more appropriate place for a commemorative memorial for the February 7, 2009 Fires. A number of ideas were discussed.

We discussed the feedback forms that the Shire had sent out and the very short timeline that they had imposed on the communities to have them back (Friday 4<sup>th</sup> June). It was agreed to send out the feedback sheet to the community to encourage them to reply to the Shire to let them know what they want to do as a way of commemorating the event. Henry said that he would scan his copy and send it to Sari with a few words. Sari agreed that she would get it to Cindy to disseminate to the broad community using the data base that she has.

**Community Input Process**

We discussed the need to have a further community update night/evening/day to bring the community up to speed to where we are at. A couple of options were floated. One was to wait until we have 2 or 3 options ready and have the community comment on them, or we could go to the community now and get their feedback on the options we currently have. No definite decision was made. It was agreed that we would discuss this in much more depth at our next meeting on Thursday.

Next meeting: Thursday 3<sup>rd</sup> June at 7pm at the hall

Our next official Hall Committee meeting (Monday 7<sup>th</sup> June at 7pm) was also discussed. (This meeting as with all official committee meetings are open to the community to attend).